



Potential health co-benefits from eradicating rats, stoats and possums in NZ towns and cities

6 November 2016

Nick Wilson, Mary McIntyre, Osman D Mansoor, Paul Blaschke, Michael Baker

The eradication of some introduced pests such as rats, stoats and possums in New Zealand, seems increasingly feasible with a new national 2050 goal and action at the city level eg, Wellington. The primary benefits will be to native birds and enhanced biodiversity, as well as for agriculture (if bovine tuberculosis is eradicated nationally). But what about the spin-offs for public health? This blog starts to explore this issue and provisionally concludes that there are many potential health benefits from the eradication or near-complete control of these pest species.

The recently announced plans for a "predator-free" Wellington City (1) are part of a longterm trend that has seen successful pest eradication on many of NZ's offshore islands and in "mainland islands" (2). Within Wellington City there has also been the successful eradication of possums from the Miramar Peninsula (officially since 2006) and apparently successful rat control in the suburb of Crofton Downs (3). Indeed, the geography of Wellington is well suited for an incremental "learn-as-you-go" approach – with the initial plans being for rat and stoat eradication on the Miramar Peninsula, and proposals for pestfree campaigns in other suburbs. Although complete eradication of rats might be difficult in NZ cities, on-going control at ports and railway freight terminals could ensure at least some parts of a city remained practically free of these pests.

The potential economic benefits from pest eradication are likely to be very large for NZ – especially if bovine tuberculosis can be eradicated (eg, (2)). These reasons have contributed to a NZ Government goal for national eradication of possums, rats and stoats by 2050 (4).

Health benefits of eradicating these pests in NZ towns and cities?

To inform what might be the health benefits of pest-free NZ towns and cities, we conducted literature searches, and considered a key text (5). Our estimates of the likely types of health benefits are summarised in the table below – albeit just starting with the target species being considered in Wellington City's pest-free goal: rats, stoats and possums.

Possible health benefit	Possible mechanism/s	Comments
Psychological benefits	Increased wildlife presence and visible/audible indicators such as bird density and birdsong levels will have psychological benefits for some people (6,7,8). In particular, hearing birdsong may have psychological benefits and it is increasingly being used for this purpose (9).	Of note is that many NZ citizens seem fairly supportive of conservation given the presence of around 4000 conservation groups being cited as evidence for this (2).
Social capital benefits	Participation in collective community action to achieve pest- free suburb/city goals (eg, with home trapping and pest surveillance) is likely to build neighbourhood links and social capital. This is likely to have direct psycho-social benefits but may allow for better community response in emergency situations.	These benefits could be hard to quantify – but researchers could consider studies on Miramar and Crofton Downs in Wellington.
Potentially reduced risk of existing zoonotic diseases (ie, can infect humans)	Diseases that are spread directly or indirectly by rats and which are present in NZ include: leptospirosis (10), salmonellosis (5), and murine typhus. The latter seems currently restricted to the Waikato (11), but probably includes Hamilton City (12). Possums can spread bovine tuberculosis which is a major concern to NZ agriculture, but there is probably still a small risk to human health in NZ (13). Both possums and rats in NZ are commonly infected with <i>Giardia</i> (14). Possums may also be infected with the zoonoses: <i>Toxoplasma gondii, Cryptosporidium parvum, Salmonella</i> <i>typhimurium,</i> and <i>Yersinia pseudotuberculosis</i> (5). But the likely total disease burden from such zoonoses for New Zealanders from transmission within towns and cities remains to be properly quantified.	But there may be a counter issue with increased mice if rats are eradicated** (eg, mice in NZ are also commonly infected with <i>Giardia</i> (14); they also carry <i>Leptospira</i> and Salmonella (5)).

Possible health benefit	Possible mechanism/s	Comments
Protecting the quality of roof- collected water	Roof-collection of rainwater is being promoted as a post- disaster resilience measure (eg, in Wellington), but such water is often of poor microbiological quality in NZ with some of the above named pathogens (eg, <i>Salmonella</i> , <i>Campylobacter</i> , <i>Giardia</i> and <i>Cryptosporidium</i> ; as reviewed here: (15)). Rats and possums are relevant to these risks – and so their removal would improve the value of such disaster preparations and for those households on urban fringes which use roof-collected water.	But a pest-free city may have more mice,** birds, frogs, and lizards – all of which can impact on the microbiological quality of roof-water (15).
Protection against future zoonotic diseases that might reach NZ	With future climate change there is a plausible risk of new diseases reaching and becoming established in NZ. If some of these involve possums* or rats as hosts (with rats being implicated in a long list of zoonotic diseases (16)), then a city without such pests would be at lower risk. Eg, some NZ researchers have described <i>Aedes notoscriptus</i> mosquitoes feeding on possums (17). They also note that in Australia, these mosquitoes "are believed to spread Ross River virus (RRV) including from possums to humans, and it could potentially play a similar role in New Zealand" if RRV was established here. It is known that possums in NZ are infected with at least one arbovirus (Whataroa virus) (5).	But there may be a counter issue with mice.**
	Furthermore, future bioweapons (eg, weaponised plague) could also be spread by flea-infected rats. However, pneumonic plague is the form that is more likely to be weaponised (18), and this is spread from person to person.	
Reduced damage to food supplies	Possums* and rats can damage domestic gardens and rats can deplete and spoil food in houses. Rodents average 50 droppings per day (19) and faecal contamination of food is a major mechanism for generating food spoilage and therefore wastage.	Ibid regarding mice.**
Reduced rodent damage to building insulation and to roofing	Insulation of housing benefits health (20) and given that it also saves heating costs and reduces greenhouse gases, it is highly cost-beneficial (21). But rats can damage building insulation and hence reduce its insulating properties. Damaged roofing can result in leaks, which can contribute to mould in houses (with mould being a risk factor for poor respiratory health (22)).	Ibid regarding mice.**
Reduced fires associated with rodent damage	Rats can gnaw through electrical wiring (19) and so contribute to some building and house fires. A US estimate is 8% of such fires are caused by rodents – but it might be different for NZ.	Ibid regarding mice.**
Reduced landslide and flooding risk	Vegetation levels in cities may increase with rat eradication (rats eat seeds and buds), but especially with possum* eradication. This may help stabilise areas at risk of slips and reduce flooding risk via vegetation holding more rainfall and reducing the speed of run-off. The scientific literature does provide evidence that vegetation levels can reduce flood risk (discussed in: (23)).	However many other factors influence the risk of floods and landslides eg, the level of paved surfaces in cities.
Enhanced carbon sequestration	Increased vegetation levels (as per the above) will tend to mean more carbon can be held in vegetation.	This is relevant given that climate change is a major threat to global health.

Notes:

* Some NZ cities (eg, Wellington) have already achieved very high levels of possum control in built up areas with poisoning campaigns, hence the listed benefits of complete possum

eradication might be somewhat limited in some cities.

** Mice populations might increase if rats are eradicated in cities (see the main text).

But possible health downsides?

Although predator-prey relationships are complex and often not well understood (24), the eradication of rats and stoats (along with the other mustelids: weasels and ferrets) is likely to allow the mice population to increase. While there is specific NZ evidence for rat control resulting in increased mice (25), the evidence is not necessarily fully relevant to urban settings. Nevertheless, this relationship may mean that some of the benefits of rat reduction listed in the table would be less than expected in a pest-free town or city. However, mice populations are also kept in check to some extent by predation from urban cats and by various NZ birds (eg, morepork/ruru and kingfisher/kōtare (5), both present in some NZ towns and cities). Weka prey on mice (5), and if these birds were successfully reintroduced to pest-free urban areas, then they would help limit mice populations. But weka would also have potential nuisance impacts on urban gardens, as can kaka.

The eradication of rats, stoats and possums will almost certainly favour growth in the bird population in a pest-free city. A potential downside here is that birds can themselves spread zoonotic diseases. For example, an outbreak of salmonellosis in humans has been linked to contract with dead sparrows in NZ (among other risk factors) (26). Nevertheless, this risk could be fairly easily dealt with by teaching children not to handle dead birds and more intensive efforts to keep birds off outdoor café tables etc.

It is likely that trapping will continue to be a key mechanism for pest removal and maintaining border protection and so citizens using some forms of traps may be a risk of hand injuries. If this turned out to be a significant problem, then there might be a case for eliminating hazardous trap designs or greater use of professionals when such traps are used.

Adding in other pest species to city-focused eradications?

Ultimately it might be desirable to also eradicate feral goats around some urban areas (and this has already been occurring in the wider Wellington Region (27)). There are likely potential public health (23) and environmental benefits from eradicating feral goats (and also feral deer and feral pigs in some areas). Similarly, eradicating feral cats may be desirable for reducing the risks of toxoplasmosis in humans and its spread to sheep in farmland around urban areas (5). Measures to reduce the emergence of new feral cats (from domestic cat populations) are therefore worth considering. A key step would be for a national law or Council requirements that all domestic cats are microchipped, to allow for identification and control of feral cats.

Conclusions

There appear to be many potential public health advantages of eradicating possums, rats and stoats. Further research on this topic is warranted so that such health benefits can be better quantified. These benefits could also be considered in any cost-benefit analyses that precedes the goal of creating other pest-free towns, cities, or districts in NZ.

Authors: Prof Nick Wilson

References

- Wellington City Council (WCC), Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC), and NEXT Foundation, Wellington aims to become the first Predator Free capital (Media Release 26 September 2016). http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/AK1609/S00855/wellington-aims-to-become-the-first-pr
- edator-free-capital.htm. 2. Russell, J., et al., Predator-Free New Zealand: Conservation Country. BioScience, 2015. 65: p. 520-525.
- 3. Wannan, O., Pest-free Wellington spreads beyond fence. Stuff (3 December 2015). http://www.stuff.co.nz/environment/74641090/Pest-free-Wellington-spreads-beyond-fence.
- Kirk, S., Government sets target to make New Zealand 'predator-free' by 2050. Stuff 2016;(26 July).
 http://www.stuff.co.pz/epvironment/82454116/government.sets.target.to.make.new.z

http://www.stuff.co.nz/environment/82454116/government-sets-target-to-make-new-z ealand-predatorfree-by-2050.

- 5. King, C.M., (Editor). The handbook of New Zealand mammals (Second Edition). 2005, Melbourne: Oxford University Press.
- 6. Fuller, R.A., et al., Psychological benefits of greenspace increase with biodiversity. Biol Lett, 2007. 3(4): p. 390-4.
- 7. Shanahan, D.F., et al., Health Benefits from Nature Experiences Depend on Dose. Sci Rep, 2016. 6: p. 28551.
- 8. Keniger, L.E., et al., What are the benefits of interacting with nature? Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2013. 10(3): p. 913-35.
- 9. Winterman, D., The surprising uses for birdsong. BBC News Magazine (8 May 2013). http://www.bbc.com/news/magazine-22298779.
- 10. Hathaway, S.C., D.K. Blackmore, and R.B. Marshall, Leptospirosis in free-living species in New Zealand. J Wildl Dis, 1981. 17(4): p. 489-96.
- 11. Lim, M.Y., et al., Seroprevalence of antibodies to Rickettsia typhi in the Waikato region of New Zealand. Epidemiol Infect, 2016. 144(11): p. 2283-9.
- 12. Sekra, A., J. Irwin, and P. Reeve. Urban rickettsiosis in the Waikato region of New Zealand. N Z Med J, 2010. 123(1315): p. 71-4.
- 13. Baker, M.G., et al., Continuing Mycobacterium bovis transmission from animals to humans in New Zealand. Epidemiol Infect, 2006. 134(5): p. 1068-73.
- 14. Marino, M.R., et al., Giardia intestinalis in North Island possums, house mice and ship rats. N Z Vet J, 1992. 40(1): p. 24-7.
- 15. Stewart, C., et al., Health Hazards Associated with Consumption of Roof-Collected Rainwater in Urban Areas in Emergency Situations. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2016. 13(10).
- 16. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Diseases from rodents. (Page updated on 29 July, 2010). http://www.cdc.gov/rodents/diseases/index.html.
- Derraik, J.G., W. Ji, and D. Slaney, Mosquitoes feeding on brushtail possums (Trichosurus vulpecula) and humans in a native forest fragment in the Auckland region of New Zealand. N Z Med J, 2007. 120(1266): p. U2830.
- 18. Wilson, N. and D. Lush, Bioterrorism in the Northern Hemisphere and potential impact

on New Zealand. N Z Med J, 2002. 115(1154): p. 247-51.

- 19. Drummond, D., Rodents and biodeterioration. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation, 2001. 48: p. 105-111.
- 20. Howden-Chapman, P., et al., Effect of insulating existing houses on health inequality: cluster randomised study in the community. BMJ, 2007. 334(7591): p. 460.
- 21. Chapman, R., et al., Retrofitting houses with insulation: a cost-benefit analysis of a randomised community trial. J Epidemiol Community Health, 2009. 63(4): p. 271-7.
- 22. Sharpe, R.A., et al., Indoor fungal diversity and asthma: a meta-analysis and systematic review of risk factors. J Allergy Clin Immunol, 2015. 135(1): p. 110-22.
- 23. Wilson, N., et al., Public health aspects of feral deer, goats and pigs in New Zealand: A review to inform eradication decisions. NZ Geographer, 2015. 71: p. 177-188.
- Ostfeld, R. and R. Holt, Are predators good for your health? Evaluating evidence for top-down regulation of zoonotic disease reservoirs. Front Ecol Environ, 2004. 2(1): p. 13–20.
- 25. Ruscoe, W.A., et al., Unexpected consequences of control: competitive vs. predator release in a four-species assemblage of invasive mammals. Ecol Lett, 2011. 14(10): p. 1035-42.
- 26. Thornley, C.N., et al., First incursion of Salmonella enterica serotype typhimurium DT160 into New Zealand. Emerg Infect Dis, 2003. 9(4): p. 493-5.
- 27. Wellington City Council, Goats Have to Go (20 March 2012). http://wellington.govt.nz/your-council/news/2012/03/goats-have-to-go

Public Health Expert Briefing (ISSN 2816-1203)

Source URL:

https://www.phcc.org.nz/briefing/potential-health-co-benefits-eradicating-rats-stoats-and-po ssums-nz-towns-and-cities